## **APPENDICES**

| Α | Summary Results of the St. Kitts Nevis National Marine Zoning Workshop: October 5th & 6th, 20094              |
|---|---|
| В | Key to the Benthic Habitats of St. Kitts and Nevis8   |
| c | St. Kitts and Nevis Habitat Metadata Compilation93  |
| D | St. Kitts and Nevis Fisheries Uses and Values Project   |
| E | Marxan with Zones Analysis  |
| F | Building a Legal Framework for Marine Zoning in the Federation of St. Kitts and Nevis, Notes for Policymakers |

## **APPENDIX A**

Summary Results of the St. Kitts Nevis National Marine Zoning Workshop: October 5th & 6th, 2009









Summary Results of the St Kitts-Nevis National Marine Zoning Workshop: October 5th & 6th, 2009

Visioning a National
Marine Zoning Plan to
balance multiple uses for a
sustainable future

Report prepared by Shawn W. Margles, The Nature Conservancy



#### Acknowledgments

This report was made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the terms of its Cooperative Agreement Number 538-A-00-09-00100-00 (BIODIVERSITY THREAT ABATEMENT Program implemented by prime recipient The Nature Conservancy and its partners. The Nature Conservancy would like to thank the staff of the Ministry of Physical Planning and Environment, St. Kitts, the Ministry of Communications, Works, Public Utilities, Posts, Physical Planning, Natural Resources and Environment, Nevis, the Ministry of Maritime Affairs, and the Ministries of Fisheries of St Kitts and Nevis. The contents and opinions expressed herein are the responsibility of the BIODIVERSITY THREAT ABATEMENT PROGRAM and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID.

### **Contents**

| Executive Summary  | 4  |
|--|----|
| Part 1 Background  |    |
| Part 2 Details on the Biodiversity Threat Abatement Program                  | 7  |
| Part 3 Summary of the Two-day Project Kick-Off Workshop                      | 8  |
| Part 4 Four Components of a Successful Marine Spatial Zoning Plan            | 11 |
| Part 5 Summary of St. Kitts-Nevis Marine Use Goals                           | 13 |
| Part 6 Conclusions and Recommendations                                       | 16 |
| Appendix A: Agenda Kick-off Workshop   | 17 |
| Appendix B: Kick-off Workshop Attendees                                      | 19 |
| Appendix C: Visioning Exercise Photos and Results                            | 20 |
| Appendix D: Goals, Barriers, & Strategies for Success (with priority voting) | 32 |

#### **Executive Summary**

Both extractive (e.g. fishing) and non-extractive (e.g. tourism) activities are placing increased and often conflicting demands on the marine waters of St. Kitts and Nevis. Future outlooks show that many of these activities and uses are likely to accelerate in the next few decades. The increasing demand on marine resources along with increasing threats, including climate change, necessitates effective management if these uses are to remain viable in the future. The Federation of St Kitts-Nevis has been chosen as the pilot site for the development of a multiple use marine zoning plan that will address these challenges and create a sustainable foundation for managing multiple marine uses. The outcomes of this project will serve as a model for the Caribbean and small island nations.

On October 5 & 6, 2009 The Nature Conservancy (The Conservancy) along with partner agencies held a "kick-off" stakeholder forum for this pilot project, funded by USAID. The forum brought together representatives of non-government organizations (NGOs), government agencies, and user groups and guided them through a process of mapping a future vision for the federal waters. The results of the forum are summarized in this report.

Approximately 115 ideas for the future management of the marine environment were generated. The ideas generally fell into four major components:

- Policy & Regulations
- Enforcement
- Education
- Uses

Six overall *Use* themes emerged and 20 goals pertaining to these *Uses* were identified, with an umbrella theme of Policy, regulation, and enforcement. The identified use themes were:

- Recreation
- Tourism
- Fishing
- Development & Planning
- Conservation, and
- Transportation

These uses will form the basis for the comprehensive National Multiuse Marine Zoning Plan. For these *Uses* the participants identified goals and potential strategies to achieve goals and overcome barriers. The goals identified can be found in Part 3 of this report.

The Kick-Off workshop provided a basis for moving forward with the Biodiversity Threat Abatement Program and identified a number of important next steps including:

- The need for the formation of a steering committee to guide the development of the multiple use zoning plan;
- A government briefing on the program and multiple use zoning for endorsement by Cabinet;
- Gaps in data and resulting necessary data collection efforts (e.g. spatial distribution of fisheries and federation wide benthic habitat maps);
- Outreach and education for communities on multiple use marine zoning;
- Integration of the project with other efforts including Protected Area Systems Planning and Coastal Zone Management; and
- The need for in-country coordination of the program

The Conservancy will utilize the information provided by the participants in development of a multiple use marine zoning plan for St Kitts-Nevis.

# Part 1 Background

#### Why Marine Planning & Zoning?

Marine planning is a place-based holistic management strategy that aims to facilitate sustainable development and use for all activities occurring in a defined marine and coastal area. Both extractive and non-extractive activities are placing increased and often conflicting demands on the Eastern Caribbean's coastal and marine waters. Future outlooks show that many of these activities and uses are likely to accelerate in the next few decades. As a result, important coastal areas are under intense pressure, threatening the biological diversity of the region's beaches, coral reefs, wetlands, mangroves and seagrass beds and the environmental services they provide (e.g. coastal protection, food security, tourism amenities, biodiversity protection, climate change adaptation).

Marine zoning has recently emerged as one of the tools that will help us better address multiple activities taking place in the ocean (e.g. tourism, ports, fisheries, and biodiversity). The outcome of a marine planning process is a comprehensive marine zoning plan that allocates use across space in an integrated fashion by including ecological, economic, and social considerations. Marine zoning is currently being applied worldwide and efforts span a range of spatial scales from large, integrated sea-use management projects (ex. China Sea, Australia's Great Barrier Reef) to smaller-scale examples that essentially apply zoning to networks of marine-protected areas (ex. Soufriere Marine Management Area, St. Lucia, Wakatobi Archipelago in Indonesia). Examples of marine zoning for small island states are unfortunately few and far between and generally have been poorly documented. The marine planning and zoning pilot project for St. Kitts & Nevis could provide a model for the Caribbean and around the world.

St Kitts-Nevis was chosen as the site of this pilot project because of expressed priorities within the country along with the federation's commitment to the Convention on Biological Diversity and other international treaties to which it is signatory. This project supports the St. Kitts-Nevis National Biodiversity Strategic Action Plan and integrates with the Protected Areas Systems Planning process currently underway.

# Part 2 Details on the Biodiversity Threat Abatement Program

In April 2009, USAID entered into a cooperative agreement with The Nature Conservancy (The Conservancy) to fund a program titled Biodiversity Threat Abatement in the Eastern Caribbean. A significant part of this project is to develop a general framework and tools that will facilitate the implementation of multiple use marine zoning in the federal waters of St. Kitts-Nevis. The project also includes a component for policy, legal and regulations, and one for marine biodiversity education and outreach that will support the zoning effort. The project is being conducted in partnership with the government agencies of St. Kitts-Nevis including: Physical Planning and Environment, Fisheries and Marine Resources, Tourism, Maritime Affairs, and Finance.

The project duration is from April 15, 2009 to September 30, 2010 and includes funding for data collection, stakeholder outreach, data analysis, capacity building, and imagery and GIS data as needed. In order to reduce the impact on already over-committed staff resources the project has contracted an in-country project coordinator. There are additional funds for the policy and education components.

This project will provide St. Kitts-Nevis with a solid basis for marine zoning and planning including detailed marine biodiversity data, maps, and surveys as well as data on other marine uses (e.g. fisheries, tourism); it will also provide significant capacity building for key in country staff. Specific activities will include:

- Evaluate enabling conditions for island wide marine zoning and assess data availability
- Develop data sets and incorporate into proposed draft zoning plan
- Build a decision support framework for the implementation of an island wide marine zoning plan

## Part 3 Summary of the Two-day Project Kick-Off Workshop

#### **Day One: Creating a Vision**

In order to achieve multiple use objectives for the federation's waters, representatives from St Kitts and Nevis created a vision that was shared by a variety of constituency groups and stakeholders. The process of creating a vision for multiple uses of the federation's waters under the USAID funded project began on October 5 & 6, 2009 with a "kick-off" stakeholder forum. The agenda for this meeting can be found in Appendix A. A complete participant list can be found in Appendix B. This forum brought together representatives of non-government organizations (NGOs), government agencies, and user groups and guided them through a process of mapping a future for the federal waters. The keystone activity of this forum was the creation of a vision map, which addressed the question, "What do you love about your ocean? What are the changes you would like? What changes do you not want? And how do you picture the uses of the ocean in the future"? Participants generated a wealth of ideas, and a vision for the future of the federation's waters emerged.

This visioning process will serve as the foundation for the USAID funded Marine Zoning Project, and this forum was just the beginning of the work necessary to translate the overall ideas and goals of the federal vision into a specific draft zoning plan. A complete list of vision statements (ideas) can be found in Appendix C. Based on priorities set by the participants, a few of the major (highest priority) ideas from the Vision include:

- Marine protected areas
- Anchoring and mooring areas for commercial and recreational usage
- Marine areas set aside to replenish fish stocks
- Public facilities on beaches
- Green practices used by hotels and restaurants adopted
- Education for tourists on "proper behavior" underwater
- Better enforced regulations
- Protection of marine mammals
- Setbacks from sandy beaches
- Vibrant sports fishing industry
- Turtles for tourism not food
- Fish traps with biodegradable doors
- Alternative livelihoods to fishing available
- Mangroves protected and restored
- Better motor vehicle connectivity between St Kitts and Nevis
- Designated swimming areas

Approximately 115 ideas were generated. The ideas generally fell into four major components:

- Policy & Regulations
- Enforcement
- Education
- Uses

These four major components play a vital role in achieving a comprehensive and effective multiple use marine zoning plan.

After the completion of day one activities The Conservancy's staff analyzed the participants' vision statements and identified approximately 56 ideas relating primarily to the component of marine uses (Appendix C). These 56 ideas were further consolidated. Seven overall marine and coastal use themes emerged and 20 goals pertaining to *Uses* were identified. The identified use themes were:

- Recreation
- Tourism
- Fishing
- Development & Planning
- Conservation
- Transportation

In addition, a cross-cutting theme of Policy, regulations, and enforcement was identified.

Some vision statements could be addressed by several of the themes. These cases are indicated in the table in Appendix C. Further cross over between vision statements and multiple themes are likely possible.

## Day Two: Multiple Marine Uses for St Kitts-Nevis and Barriers to Achieving Marine and Coastal Use Goals with Strategies to Overcome Barriers

The second day of the two day workshop focused on identifying existing barriers that were in the way of achieving each of the Use goals. To begin the day participants were given a presentation on the Use goals that had emerged from the synthesis of vision statements, which had been prepared the night before by Conservancy staff. Workshop participants then split into groups that aligned with each of the 6 themes (listed above) to first verify the goals and then identify existing barriers to achieving the articulated goals. The Recreation and Tourism themes were combined to form a single group because of the close alignment of goals (see Part 5) and overlap in participant interests. TNC staff facilitated each group in making any necessary adjustments to the articulated goals and in developing strategies and approaches most likely able to address the identified barriers. The final recommendations can be found in Appendix D.

After completing the small group exercise, worksheets were posted in the main workshop hall. Each working group selected a spokesperson to present the barriers they had identified and their strategies to overcome them. Participants were then given time to view the worksheets and indicate their preferred strategies and identify the barriers they felt were most significant. The results of voting are indicated in Appendix D.

#### Part 4

#### Four Components of a Successful Marine Spatial Zoning Plan

The four major components identified at the Project Kick-off Meeting will all play a vital role in achieving a comprehensive and effective multiple use marine zoning plan for St. Kitts-Nevis. All of these components must work in concert to achieve the overarching goals of a marine zoning plan. These four components were discussed at the October 2009 Kick-off meeting. Goals, objectives, and long terms strategies for each major component should be further developed in order to support a zoning plan. This project will address these issues for the development of a multiple use marine zoning plan and set the foundation for regulation and education. At the kick-off meeting goals and long terms strategies were identified for the themes in the *Use* component and will be summarized in the next section.

Policy & Regulations – Harmonized policies and regulations are a vital component needed to support a marine zoning plan. Policies and legislation must be comparable to recognize and address key management issues. Establishment of long-term funding mechanisms to support managed areas and enforcement of regulations are also essential. Policies must be established to meet the overarching objectives inherent to a multiple use zoning plan. Supporting regulations must exist to enact those policies. At the kick-off workshop participants indicated that St. Kitts-Nevis already has a number of appropriate regulations in place that would support a multiple use zoning plan. The greatest challenges expressed largely involved ineffective enforcement.

**Enforcement** – Enforcement of supporting regulations is essential to the success of a marine zoning plan. Without effective mechanisms in place for enforcement a zoning plan will in essence remain a paper plan. Enforcement responsibilities must be clearly defined and delegated to appropriate agencies. These agencies must also be given an appropriate level of support and resources to achieve enforcement.

**Education** – Public misperception of marine spatial zoning can be a major obstacle for a successful zoning plan. Stakeholders may skeptically view rules and regulations that limit historical uses of marine resources. Not only must stakeholders understand the intent of a zoning plan but government officials and policy makers must understand the economic benefit of a comprehensive multiple use marine zoning approach to managing marine resources. Broad education is therefore needed both within the community and within the government at a local and national level.

**Uses** - A marine zoning plan seeks to incorporate multiple uses in a spatial layout in order to achieve multiple objectives. Both extractive and non-extractive activities are placing increased and often conflicting demands on coastal and marine waters. Recognizing what uses are currently taking place and what uses may occur in the future can help users identify and

anticipate conflicts so that they may be mitigated or avoided. The October Kick-off meeting identified six major areas of uses. Participants articulated specific goals for each of these sectors along with potential barriers and long term solutions.

#### Part 5

#### Summary of St. Kitts-Nevis Marine Use Goals

Six main marine uses were identified at the Project Kick-off Meeting in October 2009. They were recreation, tourism, fishing, development and planning, conservation, and transportation. These uses will form the basis for the comprehensive National Marine Zoning Plan. At the Kick-off meeting information regarding the goals and potential strategies to overcome barriers and achieve goals was collected. Below the goals for each category of uses has been summarized. Specific information on strategies to overcome barriers to goals with priority voting is located in Appendix D. Additional information pertaining to the spatial distribution and compatibility between uses must be collected and integrated into a final layout for review by stakeholders.

**Recreation** – Having ample opportunities for local recreation is culturally important. It allows residents to stay connected to long standing traditions as well as provides opportunities to build social capital and maintain community health and wellbeing. St Kitts and Nevis have many locations and activities that are long standing and significant to the way of life in these communities. Areas supporting these activities must be maintained and respected in a National Marine Zoning Plan.

#### Goals

- Recreational fishing opportunities (near-shore and game) with large and variable fish species
- Ample facilities for recreational boating (beach and pier access, moorings)
- Clean water, healthy reefs, and clean beaches for swimming, snorkeling, sailing, diving, etc.

**Tourism** – Tourism is a vital part of the St Kitts-Nevis economy. Visitors come to the islands to take pleasure in the pristine beaches and surrounding waters and to enjoy an authentic Caribbean experience. Maintaining and improving the tourists' experience is important to present and future economies. A National Marine Zoning Plan must incorporate present tourism sectors and anticipate future ones in order to support an evolving and adaptable tourism industry.

#### Goals

- Appropriate/Adequate areas for swimming and other tourist activities (such as snorkeling, diving, wind surfing, jet skis, other water sport e.g. sailing)
- Key sites managed for marine tourism (turtle watching, top dive sites)
- Areas designated for future tourism infrastructure (hotels, golf, access roads)/areas where development is not allowed

**Fishing** – Commercial and artisanal fishing is extremely important in St. Kitts-Nevis. Fishing activities supports families, restaurants, local and export markets, and even tourism. In order

for Kititian and Nevitian fisheries to thrive, abundant species of ample size must be available. However, today species are dwindling and smaller and smaller catch size is all that is available. A balance between restocking and adequate opportunities to fish must be reached in order to achieve sustainable fisheries within federation waters.

#### Goals

- Unrestricted access to landing and mooring facilities for fishers
- Zoning to include closed areas, open access and other areas where selected gear or access restrictions apply
- Enhanced productivity and ongoing replenishment of target species

**Development & Planning** – Development is essential for a sustainable St. Kitts-Nevis economy. Although it is important to identify critical areas of cultural and environmental importance that should remain free of activities, development opportunities must be made available in order to adapt to future population and market needs. Areas best suited to development must be identified so that activities do not unnecessarily harm important resources that support other uses. Additionally, development best practices should be devised and/or employed in order to minimize impacts of development.

#### Goals

- Sufficient space for energy generation and transmission for local consumption and export
- Coastal engineering (including "hard" solutions sea walls, beach replenishment;
   and "soft" solutions mangrove restoration) to be well regulated
- Zoning to include sustainable development and no development

**Conservation** – Conservation is an important tool to insure that future generation in St. Kitts-Nevis can enjoy the same, or better, quality of life as those today do. Conservation supports and improves healthy fisheries, maintenance of sustainable levels of biodiversity, improved tourist experiences, and ample recreational opportunities. Unfettered uses without integrated conservation may threaten stable and long term usage of marine resources.

#### Goals

- Protection of key submerged marine resources (critical habitats, species, nursery, feeding, and reproduction areas)
- Culturally important marine areas protected
- Protect terrestrial resources critical for marine health (including watershed protection, 120ft setbacks on beaches)
- Restored critical habitat (mangrove, nursery areas)
- Protect key coastal resources (beaches, mangroves, salt ponds)

**Transportation** – Marine transportation is an important part of daily life in St. Kitts-Nevis. Marine transportation is necessary to connect the islands within the federation and to connect the federation to other countries. Identifying appropriate routes for marine transportation is important for safety and to ensure adequate traffic can be accommodated. Establishing transportation corridors can minimize accidents and improve the efficiency of inter and intra island transport.

#### Goals

- Distinct identification and demarcation of ferry and shipping lanes
- Marina development plan locations where marinas may be developed in future (to include commercial/recreational/tourist)
- Distinct identification and demarcation of ferry and shipping lanes

#### Part 6

#### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

The Biodiversity Threat Abetment Program seeks to assist the government of St Kitts-Nevis to develop a National Multiple Use Marine Zoning Plan. The October 5<sup>th</sup> & 6<sup>th</sup> Kick-off Meeting Workshop was only the beginning of the work needed in order to realize this plan. The Kick-Off workshop provided a basis for moving forward with the Biodiversity Threat Abatement Program and identified a number of important next steps including:

- The need for the formation of a steering committee to guide the development of the multiple use zoning plan;
- A government briefing on the program and multiple use zoning for endorsement by Cabinet;
- Gaps in data and resulting necessary data collection efforts (e.g. spatial distribution of fisheries and federation wide benthic habitat maps);
- Outreach and education for communities on multiple use marine zoning;
- Integration of the project with other efforts including Protected Area Systems Planning and Coastal Zone Management; and
- The need for in-country coordination of the program

Overarching and reemerging themes consistently arose over the course of the two day workshop. Among the most mentioned topics was the need for education and outreach on the importance and timeliness of such an effort. Also, education on how a zoning approach to multiple marine uses could help alleviate current and future conflicts and improve situations so that goals could be more readily achieved. It was expressed that both communities and government officials must be educated on these issues. Concerns were raised about the ability of regulating agencies to enforce new rules and regulation with limited resources. The importance of enforcement and the provision of adequate resources to managing authorities was made clear.

Over the next several months The Nature Conservancy will work with in-country partners to help fill the data gaps and facilitate a planning process for a National Multiple Use Zoning Plan for St Kitts-Nevis.

#### Appendix A: Agenda Kick-off Workshop







# National Marine Zoning Workshop Visioning a National Marine Zoning Plan to balance multiple uses for a sustainable future Monday 5<sup>th</sup> to Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> October, 2009 Ocean Terrace Inn AGENDA

#### Day 1

#### **Meeting Objectives:**

- 1) Introduce marine zoning
- 2) Present need for marine zoning in St. Kitts & Nevis
- 3) Describe marine zoning in the context of the Caribbean & the world
- 4) Introduce the USAID funded marine zoning project
- 5) Envision St. Kitts & Nevis marine resource use 20-50 years from now

| 9:00 AM                                  |                  | Mr. Randolph Edmead, Director of Physical Planning |  |  |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| Welcome & Agenda Review                  |                  | and Environment, St. Kitts                         |  |  |
|  |                  | &  |  |  |
|  |                  | Ms. Ruth Blyther, The Nature Conservancy           |  |  |
| Introductions                            |                  | All  |  |  |
| Past experiences with zoning; Federation | objectives;      | Mr. Joe Simmonds, Dir. of Fisheries, St Kitts      |  |  |
| Existing and potential use conflicts     |                  | Mr. Lemuel Pemberton, Dir. of Fisheries, Nevis     |  |  |
|  |                  | Mr. McClean Hobson, Dir. of Maritime Affairs       |  |  |
| 10:30-10:45 AM                           | Break            |  |  |  |
| Introduction to Marine Zoning            |                  | Dr. Vera Agostini, The Nature Conservancy          |  |  |
| The Caribbean Picture                    |                  | USAID  |  |  |
| St Kitts & Nevis Marine Zoning Project   |                  | Ms. Ruth Blyther, The Nature Conservancy           |  |  |
| 12:30 PM                                 | Lunch            |  |  |  |
| Visioning: 20 years from nowhow do yo    | ou envision your | Group Activity                                     |  |  |
| marine & coastal areas?                  |                  | Facilitated by Ms. Shawn Margles, The Nature       |  |  |
|  |                  | Conservancy  |  |  |
| 2:45-3:00 PM                             | Break            |  |  |  |
| Prioritizing marine components           |                  | Group Activity                                     |  |  |
|  |                  | Facilitated by TNC                                 |  |  |
| 4:00 PM                                  | Close            |  |  |  |

#### Day 2

#### **Meeting Objectives:**

- 1) Reach consensus on marine zoning plan goals & objectives
- 2) Define barriers to achieving those goals & objectives
- 3) Identify potential solutions to identified barriers

| 9:00 AM   |  |
|---|--|
| Agenda review   |  |
| Re-cap of day 1                                       | The Nature Conservancy                       |
| Discussion/Verification of marine area goals          | Group Activity                               |
|   | Facilitated by The Nature Conservancy        |
| 10:30 – 10:45 AM Break                                | ζ  |
| Activity: "Barriers, Strategies" What are the major   | Group Activity                               |
| barriers to marine area goals? What are potential     | Facilitated by The Nature Conservancy        |
| strategies to address the barriers to the goals?      |  |
| 12:30 PM Lunch  | 1  |
| Report outs from each group on "Barriers, Strategies" | Group Activity                               |
|   | Facilitated by The Nature Conservancy        |
| Prioritization of biggest challenges to zones         | Group Activity                               |
|   | Facilitated by The Nature Conservancy        |
| Group discussion                                      | Facilitated by The Nature Conservancy        |
| 2:45-3:00 PM Break                                    | (  |
| Next steps  | Eastern Caribbean Director, Mr. James Goggin |
|   | United States Agency for International       |
|   | Development (USAID)                          |
|   | &  |
|   | Ms. Ruth Blyther, The Nature Conservancy     |
| 4:00 PM   | Permanent Secretary Mr. Ernie Stapleton,     |
| Close   | Ministry of Communications, Works, Public    |
|   | Utilities, Posts, Physical Planning, Natural |
|   | Resources and Environment                    |







Appendix B: Kick-off Workshop Attendees

| Participants                            | K-OTT WORKSHOP AT |   |
|---|-------------------|---|
| First Name                              | Last Name         | Organization                            |
| Sylvester                               | Belle             | Physical Planning                       |
| Lester                                  | Blackett          | Nevis Disaster Management               |
| John                                    | Brake             | Ross Vet School                         |
| Kelvin                                  | Daly              | Nevis Fisheries                         |
| Paul                                    | Diamond           | Nevis Historical & Conservation Society |
| Karen                                   | Douglas           | Sustainable Dev. St. Kitts              |
| Titlon                                  | Douglas           | Physical Planning                       |
| Jay                                     | Farier            | St Kitts Physical Planning              |
| Mackee                                  | France            | Four Seasons                            |
| John                                    | Guilbert          | Nevis Historical & Conservation Society |
| John                                    | Hanley            | Tourism - Nevis                         |
| Ellis                                   | Hazel             | Christophe Harbour                      |
| McClean                                 | Hobson            | Maritime Affairs                        |
| Winston                                 | Hobson            | Fishermen Co-op Nevis                   |
| Janice                                  | Hodge             | CADENCO Inc                             |
| Cynthia                                 | Hughes            | Nevis Historical & Conservation Society |
| Rawlinson                               | Isaac             | West Indies Power                       |
| Devon                                   | Liburd            | Tourism - Nevis                         |
| Everette                                | Mason             | NASPA                                   |
| Eduardo                                 | Mattenet          | St Kitts Physical Planning              |
| Kerry                                   | McDonald          | West Indies Power                       |
| Kate                                    | Orchard           | St Kitts National Trust                 |
| Maria                                   | Pena              | Barbados. UWI                           |
| Kenneth                                 | Samuels           | Dive St Kitts                           |
| Joe                                     | Simmond           | St Kitts Fisheries                      |
| Rene                                    | Walters           | Nevis Physical Planning                 |
| Barbara                                 | Whitman           | Under the Sea                           |
| Patrick                                 | Williams          | Independent                             |
| Nigel                                   | Williams          | Coast Guard                             |
| Alastair                                | Yearwood          | Oualie Hotel                            |
| Observers                               | ··········        | LIOAID D. L. L                          |
| Michael                                 | Taylor            | USAID - Barbados                        |
| James<br>Facilitators                   | Goggin            | USAID - Barbados                        |
| Vera                                    | Agostini          | TNC Global Marine                       |
| Ruth                                    | Blyther           | TNC - Caribbean                         |
| John                                    | Knowles           | TNC - Caribbean                         |
| Shawn                                   | Margles           | TNC - Caribbean                         |
| Steve                                   | Schill            | TNC - Caribbean                         |
| Mark                                    | Spalding          | TNC Global Marine                       |
| .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Cpaiding          | Clocal manno                            |

Appendix C: Visioning Exercise Photos and Results



Figure 1 Photo of St. Kitts-Nevis Vision Map (photo credit: S. Margles)



Figure 2 Prioritization of Vision Map Components (photo credit: S. Schill)

| Major Area | Major<br>Component(s)                 | Vision Statement/Idea  | Prioritization                       |
|------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Recreation | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations         | Public access to all beaches by boat                                   | 2 high<br>priority/8 low<br>priority |
| Recreation | Uses                                  | Recreation fishing   | No votes                             |
| Recreation | Uses/ Policy & Regulations            | Tie up to any pier   | 14 low priority                      |
| Recreation | Uses                                  | Zoning for swimming  | 3 high priority                      |
| Recreation | Uses/Policy & Regulations             | Control on disposal of fish waste                                      | 1 high priority                      |
| Recreation | Uses                                  | Nevis to win a gold medal in sailing!                                  | 1 high priority                      |
| Recreation | Uses                                  | Launching slips/ramps for small boats                                  | No votes                             |
| Recreation | Uses                                  | Establish mooring and anchorage areas for commercial and recreation    | See Tourism                          |
| Tourism    | Uses                                  | Develop and support sports recreational fishing                        | 3 high priority                      |
| Tourism    | Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Education | Green practises from hotels and restaurants                            | 8 high priority                      |
| Tourism    | Uses/ Education                       | Turtles for tourism not food / moratorium on all harvesting            | 3 high priority                      |
| Tourism    | Education                             | Classes for tourist divers and snorkelers. Responsible dive operators. | 4 high priority/1 low priority       |

| Tourism | Policy &<br>Regulation        | Community based tourism  | 1 high priority                              |
|---------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Tourism | Uses                          | Pump out stations for yachts   | 1 high priority                              |
| Tourism | Uses                          | Zoning for future hotel planning   | 1 high priority                              |
| Tourism | Uses/ Education               | Responsible cruise industry (including waste disposal, no ballast dumping) | 1 high priority                              |
| Tourism | Uses                          | Zoning for swimming (see also Recreation)                                  | 1 high priority                              |
| Tourism | Policy & Regulations          | Invasive species   | See<br>Conservation                          |
| Fishing | Uses                          | Commercial fishing   | 1 high priority/1 low priority               |
| Fishing | Education                     | Education of fishers   | 3 high priority                              |
| Fishing | Policy & regulation           | Provision of alternative livelihood to get fishers out                     | 4 high priority                              |
| Fishing | Uses                          | Diversify fishing (e.g. to pelagic)  | 1 high priority                              |
| Fishing | Education                     | Good relations between fishers and coastguard                              | 1 high priority                              |
| Fishing | Uses                          | Aquaculture  | 2 high priority                              |
| Fishing | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations | Mariculture leases with associated policy framework                        | See<br>Policy/Regulati<br>on/Enforcemen<br>t |

| Fishing | Uses/ Education                               | Reduction of bycatch   | 3 high priority                      |
|---------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Fishing | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations                 | Restrictions on certain fishing methods – small mesh seines (when pulled on shore), gill-netting (high-bycatch – turtle, shark, sting-ray), dynamite, poison | 3 high priority                      |
| Fishing | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations                 | Allow traditional methods, but continue monitoring (e.g. mesh size)  | 1 low priority                       |
| Fishing | Policy &<br>Regulations                       | Want a shark fishery   | 5 low priority                       |
| Fishing | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Enforcement | Spear fishing controls – outright ban, licensing, no scuba, spatial restrictions, ban for tourists   | 2 high<br>priority/1 low<br>priority |
| Fishing | Policy &<br>Regulations                       | Fish-traps with biodegradable panels   | 4 high priority                      |
| Fishing | Enforcement                                   | Enforcement of existing regulations including stiffer penalties  | 2 high priority                      |
| Fishing | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations                 | Set aside areas to replenish fish stocks   | 8 high priority                      |
| Fishing | Education/<br>Policy &<br>Regulations         | Ciguatera awareness, control and response planning   | No votes                             |
| Fishing | Uses  | Multiple water-front locations to provide access to fishers  | 2 high priority                      |
| Fishing | Uses/ Policy & Regulations                    | No pots in shipping lanes  | No votes                             |

| Development/<br>planning | Uses                                  | Reclamation of land lost by erosion sea wall and back filling  | 1 high<br>priority/7 low<br>priority |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Development/<br>planning | Uses                                  | Minimise sediment release and employ sediment trapping during development (e.g. around Great Salt Pond)                            | 2 high priority                      |
| Development/<br>planning | Uses                                  | Elimination of septic effluent   | 1 high priority                      |
| Development/<br>planning | Uses                                  | Elimination of sand-mining and regulation of rock quarries. Shut down all quarries that fail to clean up                           | 2 high priority                      |
| Development/<br>planning | Enforcement/<br>Policy&<br>Regulation | Removal of construction waste from beaches   | 3 high priority                      |
| Development/<br>planning | Uses                                  | Designated areas for energy development  | 3 low priority                       |
| Development/<br>planning | Uses                                  | Zones for permitted hard engineering vs soft engineering   | 1 high priority                      |
| Development/<br>planning | Uses                                  | Armouring – very strict monitoring/planning/engineering / not to block public access   | 1 high priority                      |
| Development/<br>planning | Uses                                  | Government to acquire property on all beaches with provision of facilities (parking, conveniences). Trash collection from beaches. | 5 high priority                      |
| Conservation             | Uses                                  | Protection of marine habitats e.g. Narrows   | 1 high priority                      |
| Conservation             | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations         | Marine protected areas   | 8 high priority                      |

| Conservation | Uses   | Keep beaches the way they are  | No votes                             |
|--------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Conservation | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations                | Protect areas for a limited time   | 3 low priority                       |
| Conservation | Uses   | Christina (wreck) national park. Christina no-dive zone                                    | 2 high<br>priority/2 low<br>priority |
| Conservation | Uses/ Policy &<br>Regulations                | No building within 120ft of beaches coast (aesthetics, tourist, hazard reduction)          | 3 high<br>priority/3 low<br>priority |
| Conservation | Uses   | Littoral forest restoration W coast Nevis  | 2 high priority                      |
| Conservation | Uses/Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Enforcement | Banishment of all vehicles from beaches (either regulation or enforcement)                 | 1 high<br>priority/1 low<br>priority |
| Conservation | Uses   | Allow seagrass to remain on beaches (don't over-rake) – habitat, food-chain, sand-binding. | 3 low priority                       |
| Conservation | Policy & regulations                         | Ban on plastic bottles   | 4 low priority                       |
| Conservation | Uses   | Research, management and preservation of culturally important sites and artefacts.         | 2 high priority                      |
| Conservation | Uses   | Built nursery facilities for stock enhancement   | 2 low priority                       |
| Conservation | Uses   | Habitat protection or restoration to act as nursery areas                                  | 1 high priority                      |

| Conservation   | Uses                                  | Protection of seagrass beds in Narrows, Grass Bay, Ballast Bay (restore), Major's Bay             | 1 high priority                      |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Conservation   | Uses                                  | Certain critical land areas set aside in perpetuity because their important role in the watershed | 1 high priority                      |
| Conservation   | Uses                                  | Reclamation of land lost by erosion sea wall and back filling                                     | See<br>Development/<br>planning      |
| Conservation   | Uses                                  | Map, identify and protect all wrecks  | 2 high priority                      |
| Conservation   | Uses                                  | Protect / restore do not ignore mangroves   | 3 high priority                      |
| Conservation   | Policy & Regulations                  | Baseline information on marine habitats   | 1 high priority                      |
| Conservation   | Education/<br>Policy &<br>Regulations | Invasive species  | No votes                             |
| Conservation   | Uses                                  | Birds – sea, coastal, ponds, migratory species – better protection                                | 1 high priority                      |
| Conservation   | Uses                                  | International recognition – Ramsar, UNESCO Biosphere Reserve                                      | 1 high priority                      |
| Conservation   | Policy &<br>Regulations               | Marine mammals – no harvest   | 4 high<br>priority/1 low<br>priority |
| Transportation | Uses                                  | Commercial routes mapped out (shipping lanes)   | 1 high priority                      |
| Transportation | Uses                                  | Zones for ferry routes  | 2 high priority                      |

| Transportation | Policy & Regulations    | Coastguard on Nevis   | 9 high priority                       |
|----------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Transportation | Policy &<br>Regulations | Search and Rescue in Nevis  | 2 high priority                       |
| Transportation | Uses                    | Land bridge between the islands   | 4 high priority/5 low priority        |
| Transportation | Uses                    | No bridge between the islands   | 2 high<br>priority/10 low<br>priority |
| Transportation | Uses                    | No solid structure (causeway) between the islands                       | 3 high priority/4 low priority        |
| Transportation | Uses                    | Establish mooring and anchorage areas for commercial and recreation     | 4 high priority                       |
| Transportation | Uses                    | Aids to navigation throughout water (e.g. light on Cowes, Booby Island) | 3 high priority                       |
| Transportation | Uses                    | Dumping of ballast banned in regional waters – enforcement              | See Tourism                           |
| Transportation | Uses                    | No pots in shipping lanes   | No votes                              |
| Transportation | Uses                    | Commercial marina   | 1 high priority/1 low priority        |
| Transportation | Policy &<br>Regulation  | Green certification of building new boats and of existing boats         | 1 high priority                       |

| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Enforcement                      | Enforcement of existing regulations  o land-based activities that impinge on marine environment  o discharge into ocean  o safety regulations | 8 high priority |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations          | Judicial system in place and educated to support enforcement  | No votes        |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Education                        | Youth seeing the ocean as a source of recreation, and employment/careers  | 1 high priority |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Education                        | Sensitised politicians and rule of law  | 1 high priority |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Uses | Development of emergency and disaster plans   | 2 high priority |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Enforcement                      | Coastguard more active and more powerful more boats, more manpower  | 2 high priority |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations          | Establishment of maritime training centre   | 2 high priority |

| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy & Regulations                  | Setting down of hydrographic service (keep updated and improve charts)        | 4 high priority/1 low priority |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Education                             | Sensitised people to holistic nature of island nations                        | No votes                       |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Education                             | Education – what goes in the sea stays in the sea                             | No votes                       |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy & Regulations                  | Policy framework for mariculture/aquaculture (leases)                         | 1 low priority                 |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Education                             | Citizens have a sense of ownership and value                                  | 1 high priority                |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Education | Community service component to education                                      | No votes                       |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Education                             | Society becomes so environmentally aware that behaviour becomes less damaging | 1 high priority                |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Education | Successful fisheries exchange programme                                       | 1 high priority                |

| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Enforcement | Control of manmade discharge                              | 1 high priority |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Enforcement/<br>Education               | Self-enforcement  | 2 high priority |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Enforcement/<br>Education               | Safe use of environment (reduced number of accidents)     | No votes        |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations                 | New regulations   | 2 high priority |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations                 | Strategies must tie into political systems and timescales | No votes        |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations                 | Set timeline for approval and completion of zoning plan   | No votes        |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations                 | Climate change modelling particularly for SLR             | No votes        |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Education   | Better use of science to inform decisions                 | 1 high priority |

| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations/<br>Education | Better use of sociology and behavioural expertise to modify human behaviour | No votes        |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations               | Ongoing scientific monitoring   | 2 high priority |
| Policy/<br>regulation/<br>enforcement | Policy &<br>Regulations               | Adaptive management   | No votes        |

#### **Additional Overarching Ideas**

- No restriction at all of marine uses following successful recovery 2 low priority
- Marine environment that provides for all –no votes
- Good fish available to feed families and pay bills –no votes (overarching Fishing idea)
- Healthy reefs, corals and large fish. 3 high priority (overarching Conservation & Recreation/Tourism idea)

Appendix D: Goals, Barriers, & Strategies for Success (with priority voting)

| Recreation   |   |   |  |                         |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------------|
| Goals  | Barriers  | Votes   | Strategies   | Votes                   |
| Recreational fishing opportunities (nearshore and game) with large and variable fish species | Overfishing   |   | No fishing zone for stock replenishment, mangroves planting/restoration  |                         |
|  | Inappropriate fishing activities (gill net, gear)                                       |   | Enforcement of appropriate regulation and appropriate regulation and appropriate placement of fishing activities |                         |
|  | Damage to coral reef, sea<br>grass (human activities -<br>yachting)                     |   | Anchorage sites,<br>moorings in place  |                         |
|  | No limit/regulation in fish size  |   | Regulation for fish size   |                         |
|  | Natural disaster damaging habitat   |   | Resiliency   |                         |
| Ample facilities for recreational boating (beach and pier access                             | Perception of public service that piers are evil (smuggling is why perception persists) | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 1 vote | Education of department about piers, changing public sector attitude about pier                                  | High priority = 2 votes |
| moorings)  | Natural disasters   | High priority = 2 votes                         | Effective disaster plan & construction   | High priority = 2 votes |

|   | Haul-out facilities  | Low priority = 2 votes                              | Engineering design and location for longevity  |                         |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------|
|   | Runoff from golf course, ag.<br>Land, over grazing                                 | High priority = 2<br>votes Low priority = 1<br>vote | Mitigation practices<br>for construction and<br>BMP> and changing<br>chemicals in golf<br>course |                         |
|   | Litter and dumping in water and on beach (perception of the sea taking waste away) | High priority = 4 votes                             | Trash collection and bin placement education   | High priority = 2 votes |
| Clean water, healthy reefs, and clean beaches for swimming, snorkeling, sailing, and diving | Eutrophicatioin (N, P) from hotel/big development/domestic waste                   | High priority = 1 vote                              | Regulations for large<br>sized development<br>sites have aerobic<br>sewage plant                 |                         |
|   | Not enough info/data on water quality  | High priority = 2 votes                             | Water testing & adequate baseline established  | High priority = 2 votes |
|   | Inappropriate disposal of dead fish  | High priority = 1 vote                              | Designate disposal techniques & areas  |                         |
|   | Fueling boats (drum, siphon)   | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 1 vote     | Designated proper fueling areas and techniques   | High priority = 3 votes |
|   | Incompatible adjacent land use   |   |  |                         |

| Tourism   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Goals   | Barriers  | Votes   | Strategies  | Votes  |
|   | Push back from operators if zones are isolated                              |   | Appropriate clustering of zones   | High priority = 1 vote                           |
|   | Additional costs to launch at isolated + distance zones                     |   |   |  |
|   | Noise pollutions  | High priority = 4 votes                         |   |  |
| Appropriate/Adequate areas for swimming +                                     | Inadequate enforcement and capacity   |   | Having trained personnel and adequate equipment   | High priority = 4 votes<br>Low priority = 1 vote |
| other tourist activities<br>(snorkeling, diving, wind<br>surfing, other water | Designated areas for activities that lack the necessary conditions          |   | Zoning matches the activity   |  |
| sport e.g. sailing)   | Negative impacting adjacent activities - pollution (solid, silt)            | Low priority = 1 vote                           |   |  |
|   | Untrained operator  | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 4 vote | Boat safety course and/or license   | High priority = 3 votes                          |
|   | Land-based operations that restrict water activities (airport and fly zone) |   |   |  |
| Key sites managed for marine tourism (turtles,                                | Traditional uses (fishing)  | High priority = 2 votes                         | Education, social studies, & incorporate traditional use as much as possible into zoning plan | High priority = 2 votes                          |
| top dive sites)   | Conflict of interests   |   |   |  |
|   | Ignorance   |   |   |  |
|   | Emotional attachment (Cristina site)  | Low priority = 1 vote                           |   |  |

|                                  | Further distance between key sites and associated costs (fishers, water taxi, dive operators) |   |   |   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|                                  | Runoff, blocked currents, light pollution, abandoned fishing gear                             | High priority = 2 votes                           | Clean habitat   |   |
|                                  | Economic feasibility  |   | Fees  | High priority = 2 votes                         |
|                                  | Destruction of turtle nests (dogs, mongroose, human consumption)                              | High priority = 3 votes                           | Patrol by turtle program, enforcement of law, eyes on the beach           | High priority = 1 vote                          |
|                                  | Limited land space and limited suitability for development                                    | High priority = 2 votes<br>Low priority = 4 votes | Selected zoning to capture prioritization of development placement & type | High priority = 3 votes<br>Low priority =1 vote |
| Areas designated for             | Attitudes of people for where not to develop  |   | Education on development and conservation                                 | High priority = 1 vote                          |
| future tourism                   | Short-term political goals  |   | Clear legislation   | High priority = 1 vote                          |
| infrastructure (hotels,          |   | High priority = 3 votes                           | Broad education   |   |
| golf, access roads)/areas        |   |   | Strong pressure group   |   |
| where development is not allowed | Zoning effort of land values  | Low priority = 2 votes                            | Other mechanisms to make land viable economically (easement, ecotourism)  |   |
|                                  | Pressure of waterfront by various groups (fishers, tourist, water sports)                     | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 1 vote   |   |   |

| Fishing  |  |   |  |   |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Goals  | Barriers   | Votes   | Strategies   | Votes   |
|  | Rapidly increasing coastal development   | High priority = 3 votes                         | Regulate coastal development   | High priority = 3 votes                         |
| Unrestricted access to   | Inadequate # of landing facilities   | High priority = 3 votes                         | Provide more landing facilities, government purchase   | High priority = 3 votes                         |
| landing and mooring facilities for fishers                         | Lack of sheltered areas  | High priority = 2 votes                         | Construction of marinas for fishing vessels  | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 1 Vote |
|  | Potential conflict between tourism and fishing RE use of/constraint of marinas | High priority = 3 votes                         | Marinas should include areas for fishers and tourism   |   |
|  | Lack of enforcement of current regulations                                     | High priority = 8 votes                         | Self enforcement by fishers, establish and fund marine police  | High priority = 6 votes                         |
| Zoning to include closed areas, open access and                    | Lack of political will to enforce<br>the law                                   |   | Targeted education of<br>the political<br>directorate, est. non<br>political bodies to<br>regulate fishing | High priority = 4 votes                         |
| other areas where<br>selected gear or access<br>restrictions apply | Lack of fisher involvement/engagement at all levels                            | High priority = 9 votes                         | Engage fishers early in process. Fisher exchange program   | High priority = 6 votes                         |
|  | Conflicting interests between dive operators and fishermen                     | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 1 Vote | Establish specific   |   |
|  | Boats interfering with fishing operations                                      | High priority = 2 votes                         | Areas for dive operations and boat traffic   | High priority = 3 votes                         |

Appendix D: Goals, Barriers, & Strategies for Success (with priority voting)

|  | Unwillingness of fishers to accept gear restrictions and closed areas | High priority = 6 votes | Establish incentives program. Outreach to fishers and consumers. Alternatives livelihoods.                                  | High priority = 5 votes  |
|--|---|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Enhanced productivity<br>and ongoing<br>replenishment of target<br>species | Use of inappropriate gear   | High priority = 4 votes | Education/outreach<br>for fishers, provide<br>fishers with alt. gear/<br>incentives; diversify<br>target<br>species/markets | High priority = 4 votes  |
|  | Sedimentation/pollution from land based activities                    | High priority = 4 votes | Regulate/enforce land based activities  | High priority = 4 votes  |
|  | Lack of stock assessment/research                                     | High priority = 4 votes | Fund Stock assessment research  | High priority = 16 votes |

| Development & Plannin   | <u> </u>  | Votes   | Ctrotogies  | Votos                   |
|---|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| Goals   | Barriers  | Votes   | Strategies  | Votes                   |
|   | Location of cable for the transmission from Nevis to SK, need to avoid sensitive habitats | High priority = 2 votes                         | EIA   | High priority = 2 votes |
| Sufficient space for energy generation and  | Where do you bring cable on the land> private lands                                       |   | Proper studies  |                         |
| transmission for local consumption and export   | Unknown where or what kind of energy would be developed                                   | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 1 Vote |   |                         |
|   | For geothermal - Location of fault lines> Earthquake concerns>                            |   | Proper silting and studies  |                         |
|   | Size of islands> not much room for soft project   | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 1 Vote | Adopt Nevis - Marine<br>building code +<br>coastal development<br>plan                          |                         |
|   | Expertise is not available  |   |   |                         |
|   | \$ - it is expensive  |   |   |                         |
| Coastal engineering (including "hard" solutions - sea walls,                                    | Climate change> sea level rise  | High priority = 1 vote                          | Funding from international conventions  | High priority = 3 votes |
| beach replenishment;<br>and "soft" solutions -<br>mangrove restoration) to<br>be well regulated | Disasters - Hurricanes storm surge> swells>   | High priority = 2 votes                         | Good info - mapping -<br>do not allow<br>development in the<br>high risk areas                  |                         |
|   | People are just doing work to protect property> w/no control                              |   | Gov't needs to acquire properties that are in direct threat - relocation from high threat areas | High priority = 1 vote  |

|  | Need coherent and clear info<br>on where hazards are: some<br>info but need in a coherent<br>plan for coastal development |                         | Need to have a coastal hazards study + have coastal/national disaster mitigation project - 2001 DINE. Fill gaps from this project - need better contour maps + lidar coverage> then can do proper models |                         |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|
|  | EIA + Natural hazard impact assessments CDB website   | High priority = 2 votes | Training via CDB>  | High priority = 1 vote  |
|  | Climate change - sea level rise   |                         | Proper modeling + information - look at long term plan for redevelopment involvement at international climate change talks   | High priority = 2 votes |
| Zoning to include sustainable development and no development | Natural land form - no natural<br>embayment   |                         | Require mitigations<br>> in impact<br>assessment EIAs<br>required - Need<br>strengthen law +<br>training for rewards   | High priority = 5 votes |
|  | Coastline alteration will be needed   | High priority = 1 vote  |  |                         |
|  | Conflicting use/livelihoods   | High priority = 1 vote  | Training for government staff - capacity building  | High priority = 4 votes |
|  |   |                         | Marine zoning - or spatial planning  |                         |

| Private SK lands no fully under  |                         | External influence on parent company to mitigate - and prevent impacts> work with developers  Expand code - to                                  |                        |
|--|-------------------------|---|------------------------|
| government code> S.E.<br>Peninsula   | High priority = 2 votes | include private lands   |                        |
| Political will> short-term vs. long-term, no continuity - 5- year horizon        |                         | Plans with specific<br>timelines> +<br>adaptive> revise<br>each 5 years<br>SK + National landuse<br>plan -                                      |                        |
| \$ drive development jobs are priority   |                         | Job creation - in natural resource management, restoration  |                        |
| Integration with land based activity not easy> CZM needs to be done at same time | High priority = 1 vote  | Adopt/approve the Nevis land use plan/ coastal plan + marine building code use draft to inform marine zoning process. Adopt protected area plan | High priority = 1 vote |
| Lack of data /information> or not well consolidated or analyzed                  | High priority = 1 vote  |   |                        |
| Cost implications of marine zoning plan/implementation                           | High priority = 1 vote  |   |                        |
| Location of critical/sensitive habitats  | High priority = 1 vote  |   |                        |

Appendix D: Goals, Barriers, & Strategies for Success (with priority voting)

|  | (development plans) - about resource> values - political/people - all | Education for public -<br>Develop political<br>education | High priority = 4 votes                     |
|--|---|--|---|
|  |   |  | Target each sector of population Holistic - |

| Conservation   |  |  |   |   |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Goals  | Barriers   | Votes  | Strategies  | Votes   |  |
| Protection of key<br>submerged marine<br>resources (critical<br>habitats, species,<br>nursery, feeding,<br>reproduction areas) | Lack of enforcements of existing law               | High priority = 4 votes                          | Better enforcement  | High priority = 3 votes                         |  |
|  | Lack of legal framework (NCEPA)                    |  | Integrated planning   | High priority = 6 votes                         |  |
|  | Lack of co-ordination between agencies             | High priority = 4 votes<br>Low priority = 1 vote | Integrated planning   | High priority = 6 votes                         |  |
|  | Poor maps of natural resources                     |  | Better Natural Res.<br>Inventory                            |   |  |
|  |  | Low priority = 1 vote                            | Remote Sensing  | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 1 Vote |  |
|  |  |  | Field Survey/in<br>water/fisheries<br>stats/local knowledge |   |  |
|  | Distrust by fishers                                | Low priority = 1 vote                            | Education/dissemination                                     | High priority = 3 votes                         |  |
|  |  |  | Government intervention/involve                             |   |  |
|  |  |  | Learn from other fishers in other countries                 |   |  |
|  |  |  | Demonstration sites   |   |  |
|  |  |  | Self enforcement  |   |  |
|  | Lack of full engagement of stakeholders            |  |   |   |  |
|  | Funding  |  |   |   |  |
| Culturally important   | Lack of knowledge                                  | High priority = 4 votes                          | Better map + inventory                                      | High priority = 1 vote                          |  |
| marine areas protected   | Fishers want to use                                | Low priority = 1 vote                            | Compromise  | Low priority = 1 vote                           |  |
| Protect terrestrial resources critical for   | Traditional uses on upland/steep slopes (charcoal, | High priority = 4 votes                          | Alternative uses/livelihoods                                |   |  |
| marine health (including   | illegal clearance) over-grazing                    |  | Enforcement   | High priority = 1 vote                          |  |

| watershed protection,  |   |  | New regulation  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| 120ft setbacks on beaches)                                     | Insufficient or non-functional sewage treatment                     | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 2 votes | Infrastructure planning   |  |
|  | Competing demand for coastal (1km from shore) lands                 | High priority = 2 votes                          | Planning + zoning   | High priority = 2 votes                          |
| Restored critical habitat (mangrove, nursery areas)            | Seagrass - competing uses   |  | Compromise  | High priority = 1 vote<br>Low priority = 2 votes |
|  |   | High priority = 6 votes                          | Maximum/use/loss threshold  |  |
|  |   | Low priority = 2 votes                           | Mitigation + offset   |  |
| Protect key coastal resources (beaches, mangroves, salt ponds) | Non-adherence to existing policy/regulation (Ministerial over-ride) | High priority = 3 votes                          | Increase transparency   | High priority = 1 vote                           |
|  | VISIOII (St. Kitts)   | High priority = 2 votes<br>Low priority = 1 vote | Policy change, close<br>loop-holes  | High priority = 3 votes<br>Low priority = 1 vote |
|  |   |  | Tie-in environmental standards to international funding                         |  |
|  | Existing penalties insufficient                                     | High priority = 7 votes<br>Low priority = 1 vote |   |  |
| mangroves, sale policis,                                       | Pushback from big developers  |  | Compromise  |  |
|  |   |  | Maximum loss threshold  |  |
|  |   |  | Mitigation + offset   |  |
|  | Local resistance (jobs, \$\$\$)                                     |  | Education   |  |
|  |   |  | Community leaders<br>(long-term vision, full<br>natural resource<br>accounting) | High priority = 1 vote                           |

| Transportation   |                                     |  |   |                         |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|
| Goals  | Barriers                            | Votes  | Strategies  | Votes                   |
|  | Funding                             |  | Effective marketing   | High priority = 3 votes |
|  |                                     |  | Lobbying  |                         |
|  |                                     |  | User fee/tax  |                         |
|  | Education/planning                  | High priority = 2 votes                            | Outreach/ awareness   |                         |
| Distinct identification and  |                                     |  | Workshop  | Low priority = 1 vote   |
| lemarcation of ferry and hipping lanes   | Conflict with fishermen             | High priority = 1 vote                             | Workshops to map areas  |                         |
|  | Identification of appropriate areas |  | Mapping of sandy bottom/demarcation on charts                   | High priority = 1 vote  |
|  | Enforcement                         |  | Funding for ranger patrol - collect user fees                   | High priority = 1 vote  |
|  | Funding                             | High priority = 3 votes                            | Effective marketing   |                         |
|  |                                     |  | Lobbying  |                         |
|  |                                     |  | Slip fees   |                         |
| Marina davalarmant alan  | Environmental concerns              | High priority = 1<br>vote Low priority =<br>1 vote | Identify (through<br>EIAs) optimal areas<br>with minimum impact | High priority = 3 votes |
| Marina development plan -<br>locations where marinas may be<br>developed in future (to include |                                     |  | Enforcement   | High priority = 3 votes |
| ommercial/recreational/tourist)  | Limited land space                  | High priority = 2                                  | Reclamation/creation  | Low priority = 1 vote   |
|  |                                     | votes Low priority = 1 vote                        | Good use/Efficient use of current land                          |                         |
|  | Governmental/politics               | High priority = 1 vote Low priority = 1 vote       | Effective lobbying  |                         |
|  |                                     |  | Citizen involvement community                                   | High priority = 1 vote  |

Appendix D: Goals, Barriers, & Strategies for Success (with priority voting)

|  |                         |                            | Education  |   |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
|  | Education               |                            | Outreach,<br>communication<br>program, town<br>hall/workshop                                   | High priority = 4 votes                             |
| Distinct identification and demarcation of ferry and | Consultation            | High priority = 2 votes    | Meetings with ferry operators and passenger/stake holders                                      | High priority = 4<br>votes Low priority =<br>1 vote |
| shipping lanes                                       | Conflict with fishermen | High priority = 2<br>votes | Effective<br>communication -<br>meetings with<br>fishermen (final<br>demarcation in<br>charts) | High priority = 4<br>votes                          |