## Released on March 21, 2005

# Scientific Consensus Statement on Marine Ecosystem-Based Management

Prepared by scientists and policy experts to provide information about coasts and oceans to U.S. policy-makers

Executive Summary: The current state of the oceans requires immediate action and attention. Solutions based on an integrated ecosystem approach hold the greatest promise for delivering desired results. From a scientific perspective, we now know enough to improve dramatically the conservation and management of marine systems through the implementation of ecosystem-based approaches.

Coastal and ocean ecosystems are vitally important to U.S. interests and they are at risk. Over half of the U.S. population lives along the coast, and more than \$200 billion in economic activity was associated with the ocean in 2000. Despite their economic significance, U.S. oceans, like those around the world, are changing in unprecedented ways. Recently, the Pew Oceans Commission and the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy concluded that a combination of human activities on land, along the coasts, and in the ocean are unintentionally but seriously affecting marine ecosystems by altering marine food webs, changing the climate, damaging habitat, eroding coastlines, introducing invasive species, and polluting coastal waters. These changes threaten the ability of ocean ecosystems to provide the benefits Americans expect from marine ecosystems. Currently, each activity or threat is typically considered in isolation; coordinated management of cumulative impacts is rare. Both commissions call for a more comprehensive, integrated, ecosystem-based approach to address the current and future management challenges of our oceans. Both commissions describe ecosystem-based management as the cornerstone of a new vision for healthy, productive, resilient marine ecosystems that provide stable fisheries, abundant wildlife, clean beaches, vibrant coastal communities and healthy seafood for all Americans.

## WHAT IS ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT FOR THE OCEANS?

Ecosystem-based management is an integrated approach to management that considers the entire ecosystem, including humans. The goal of ecosystem-based management is to maintain an ecosystem in a healthy, productive and resilient condition so that it can provide the services humans want and need. Ecosystem-based management differs from current approaches that usually focus on a single species, sector, activity or concern; it considers the cumulative impacts of different sectors. Specifically, ecosystem-based management:

- emphasizes the protection of ecosystem structure, functioning, and key processes;
- is place-based in focusing on a specific ecosystem and the range of activities affecting it;
- explicitly accounts for the interconnectedness within systems, recognizing the importance of interactions between many target species or key services and other non-target species;
- acknowledges interconnectedness among systems, such as between air, land and sea; and
- integrates ecological, social, economic, and institutional perspectives, recognizing their strong interdependences.

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy (2004) Appendix C: Living Near and Making a Living from the Nation's Coasts and Oceans

#### BACKGROUND

The scientific understanding of marine ecosystems has advanced considerably over the last few decades. We now have a much greater appreciation of how the oceans support and sustain human life by providing services such as seafood; medicine; nutrient cycling; water purification; protection of shores from erosion and storm damage; moderation of climate and weather; recreation; and spiritual, religious, and other nonmaterial benefits. The interactions among species within ecosystems generate these services. Healthy, intact, resilient marine ecosystems have a greater capacity to provide the full range of benefits that Americans say they want from oceans.

Management that emphasizes the protection of ecosystem structure, functioning, and key processes is much more likely to ensure the long-term delivery of these important services. From a governance perspective, implementation of an ecosystem approach will enable more coordinated and sustainable management of activities that affect the oceans. Ecosystem-based management should reduce duplication and conflicts, and in the long run will likely be more cost-effective. A delay in implementing management based on an ecosystem approach will result in continued conflicts over resources, degradation of ocean ecosystems, disruption of fisheries, loss of recreational opportunities, health risks to humans and wildlife and loss of biodiversity.

**This document** reflects our scientific understanding about marine ecosystems and the concepts of ecosystem-based management, specifically (1) what the term 'ecosystem-based management' means, (2) what is an ecosystem, (3) core scientific knowledge about ecosystems, (4) key elements of ecosystem-based management, and (5) actions consistent with an ecosystem approach.

## WHAT IS AN ECOSYSTEM?

An ecosystem is a dynamic complex of plants, animals, microbes and physical environmental features that interact with one another. Humans are an integral part of ecosystems, marine and terrestrial. The "interconnectedness" within and among ecosystems is provided both by the physical environment (for example, currents transporting larvae from one part of the ecosystem to another) and by biological interactions (for example, kelps or seagrasses creating habitat or predators consuming prey).

Ecosystems come in many sizes, often with smaller systems embedded within larger ones. For example, a kelp forest in southern California represents a small habitat ecosystem that is nested within the larger California Current Large Marine Ecosystem. At the largest scale, ecosystems are often categorized as Large Marine Ecosystems (LMEs). Approximately 64 LMEs have been recognized globally, and 10 of these are in U.S. waters<sup>2</sup>. The boundaries of each LME are defined primarily by oceanographic and topographic features. All LMEs include multiple habitats such as sandy beaches, kelp forests, rocky shores, seagrass beds, or pelagic habitat. Individuals of a few marine species spend their entire life within a single habitat such as a kelp forest, but most have larval or juvenile stages that are transported across habitats but within an LME. Thus, even if the adult stage is sedentary, the individual uses multiple habitats within an LME over its lifespan.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The 10 Large Marine Ecosystems within the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (in whole or in part) are the Beaufort Sea, Chukchi Sea, Eastern Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska, California Current, Insular Pacific Hawaiian, Gulf of Mexico, Caribbean Sea, South East U.S. Continental Shelf, and North East U.S. Continental Shelf.

Some wide-ranging animals, including certain large fish, sea turtles, and marine mammals, cross LME boundaries just as migrating birds move across tundra, forest and prairie ecosystems on land.

## CORE SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE ABOUT ECOSYSTEMS

Our scientific understanding of ecosystems in general, and marine systems specifically, has advanced substantially over the last few decades. A wealth of experience with ecosystem-based management on land is available to inform implementation of marine ecosystem-based management. The following are key concepts that form the foundation for an ecosystem approach to management.

- The key interactions among species within an ecosystem are essential to maintain if ecosystem services are to be delivered. Ecosystems are highly interactive and strongly linked. Removing or damaging some species can dramatically affect others and disrupt the ability of the system to provide desired services. However, not all interactions are equally important. The consequences of some species' interactions strongly influence the overall behavior of ecosystems. Small changes to these key interactions can produce large ecosystem responses. For example, the absence of large-bodied predators at the apex of marine food webs can result in large-scale changes in the relative abundances of other species. Ecosystem-based management therefore entails identifying and focusing on the role of key interactions, rather than on all possible interactions.
- The dynamic and complex nature of ecosystems requires a long-term focus and the understanding that abrupt, unanticipated changes are possible. The abundances of species are inherently difficult to predict, especially over longer time periods, in part because they may change abruptly and with little warning. For example, decadal-scale changes (such as the North Atlantic Oscillation or the Pacific Decadal Oscillation) significantly alter ecosystem dynamics and population sizes. Such long-term changes tend to be less predictable because they are associated with large-scale environmental changes. Management must thus anticipate and be able to adjust to these changes.
- Ecosystems can recover from many kinds of disturbance, but are not infinitely resilient. There is often a threshold beyond which an altered ecosystem may not return to its previous state. The tipping point for these irreversible changes may be impossible to predict. Thus, increased levels of precaution are prudent as ecosystems are pushed further from pre-existing states. Features that enhance the ability of an ecosystem to resist or recover from disturbance include the full natural complement of species, genetic diversity within species, multiple representative stands (copies) of each habitat type and lack of degrading stress from other sources.
- Ecosystem services are nearly always undervalued. Although some goods (fish and shellfish) have significant economic value, most other essential services are neither appreciated nor commonly assigned economic worth. Examples of services that are at risk because they are undervalued include protection of shorelines from erosion, nutrient recycling, control of disease and pests, climate regulation, cultural heritage and spiritual benefits. Current economic systems attach no dollar values to these services; they are typically not considered in policy decisions and many are at risk.

## KEY ELEMENTS OF ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT

The U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy and the Pew Oceans Commission articulated a number of key elements of marine ecosystem-based management. They include:

- Make protecting and restoring marine ecosystems and all their services the primary focus, even above short-term economic or social goals for single services. Only intact, healthy ecosystems can provide the complete range of benefits that humans want and need over long periods of time
- Consider cumulative effects of different activities on the diversity and interactions of species.
- Facilitate connectivity among and within marine ecosystems by accounting for the import and export of larvae, nutrients, and food.
- Incorporate measures that acknowledge the inherent uncertainties in ecosystem-based management and account for dynamic changes in ecosystems, for example as a result of natural oscillations in ocean state or shifts in the frequency or intensity of storms. In general, levels of precaution should be proportional to the amount of information available such that the less that is known about a system, the more precautionary management decisions should be.
- Create complementary and coordinated policies at global, international, national, regional, and local scales, including between coasts and watersheds. Ecosystem processes operate over a range of spatial scales, and thus appropriate scales for management will be goal-specific.
- Maintain historical levels of native biodiversity in ecosystems to provide resilience to both natural and human-induced changes.
- Require evidence that an action will not cause undue harm to ecosystem functioning before allowing that action to proceed.
- Develop multiple indicators to measure the status of ecosystem functioning, service provision and effectiveness of management efforts.
- Involve all stakeholders through participatory governance that accounts for both local interests and those of the wider public.

### ACTIONS CONSISTENT WITH ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT

Implementing ecosystem-based management will involve many steps and the use of diverse tools. The following overarching actions are consistent with an ecosystem-based approach to management. Some of these individual steps are already being taken in the U.S. and around the world. However, they have not been implemented in a comprehensive, integrated way. Enough is known now about marine ecosystems to put an ecosystem-based approach into practice immediately.

- Initiate **ecosystem-level planning** that involves multiple stakeholders and takes into account the cumulative impacts of multiple important human activities on ecosystems, as well as the effects of long-term environmental changes.
- Establish **cross-jurisdictional management goals** through formal agreements and mechanisms across local, state, federal and tribal authorities. Goals within ecosystem-based management should reflect interagency management at all levels, as opposed to focusing on specific jurisdictions within an ecosystem (for example, parks, refuges, and sanctuaries).

- Initiate **zoning** of regions of the ocean, for example LMEs, by designating areas for particular allowable uses in both space and time, including networks of fully protected marine reserves and other types of marine protected areas. Zoning that reduces conflict among users of different services should account for and integrate the effects of key activities. This regional planning should be carried out in a comprehensive manner. Area-based management approaches are valuable tools for coordinating the management of multiple uses within the larger land- or seascape context. **Networks of marine reserves** are uniquely capable of protecting biodiversity and habitats, producing the large-bodied individuals who contribute disproportionately to reproductive output, providing insurance against management uncertainties, and providing a benchmark for evaluating the effects of activities outside of reserves.
- Expand and improve the coordination of **habitat restoration** in coastal ecosystems such as wetlands, seagrass beds, and kelp and mangrove forests where habitats have been lost or ecosystem functioning has been diminished. These activities, currently under the purview of a plethora of governmental agencies, should be coordinated in a comprehensive manner that considers their cumulative effects on ocean and coastal ecosystems and includes a rigorous program of research, monitoring and evaluation.
- Adopt co-management strategies in which governments (federal, state, local, and tribal) and
  diverse stakeholders (local resource users, academic and research scientists, conservation
  interests, community members with traditional knowledge, and other stakeholders) share the
  responsibility for management and stewardship. Potential advantages include decision-making
  that is better informed, more flexible, and incorporates traditional ecological knowledge.
- Incorporate **adaptive management** into ecosystem plans as an approach to learning from management actions that allows for scientifically based evaluation, testing of alternate management approaches, and readjustment as new information becomes available from carefully designed monitoring programs. Management should explicitly acknowledge that our current understanding is incomplete and will continue to improve. Likewise, institutions must be adaptable when ecosystems or knowledge change.
- Establish long-term ocean and coastal observing, monitoring and research programs to collect continuously and integrate relevant biogeophysical, social, and economic data. These programs are needed to understand better the workings of marine ecosystems, changes in ocean dynamics, and the effectiveness of management decisions.

If you would like to add your name to this statement and are an academic with a PhD or JD degree and based at a US institution, please contact Karen McLeod (karen.mcleod@science.oregonstate.edu)

### **Citation for this statement:**

McLeod, K. L., J. Lubchenco, S. R. Palumbi, and A. A. Rosenberg. 2005. Scientific Consensus Statement on Marine Ecosystem-Based Management. Signed by 217 academic scientists and policy experts with relevant expertise and published by the Communication Partnership for Science and the Sea at http://compassonline.org/?q=EBM.

## APPENDIX A: FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

## WHAT BENEFITS DO HUMANS DERIVE FROM MARINE ECOSYSTEMS?

Humans depend upon oceans and coasts for their existence and well-being. Marine ecosystems benefit humans by providing services such as food (fish, shellfish and seaweed); medicines; water purification; protection of shorelines from erosion and storm damage; control of diseases and pests; nutrient cycling; moderation of climate and weather; recreation; and spiritual, religious and other nonmaterial benefits. The interactions within an ecosystem produce these services. Each ecosystem provides a range of services.

## HOW DO HUMANS IMPACT MARINE ECOSYSTEMS?

Humans affect marine ecosystems through a wide variety of activities on land, on the coasts, and in the ocean. The impacts of these activities interact, often in synergistic ways. Land-based activities have major impacts on marine ecosystems via run-off and atmospheric deposition of nutrients and chemical pollutants, alteration of coastal habitats such as wetlands and estuaries, alteration of flows of water and sediment to coastal areas, deposition of marine debris, and global climate change. Among coastal and oceanic activities (such as aquaculture, coastal development, fishing, military activities, and shipping), fishing has the most obvious impact. Ecosystem effects of fishing result from the removal of substantial amounts of life, reduction of the average size and age of individuals within a population (thereby reducing productive capacity), removal of a large percentage of top predators (thereby altering the function of marine food webs), collateral damage to non-target species (often including endangered species) via bycatch, and degradation or destruction of bottom habitats by some fishing gear. These can in turn affect the structure and functioning of ecosystems, reduce productivity of the system, and impede the delivery of services.

### IS 'ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT' DIFFERENT FROM 'ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT'?

The term "ecosystem management" implies that it is possible to control and manage an entire ecosystem. In view of the fact that humans cannot control ocean currents or most animals within a marine ecosystem, it is scientifically more accurate to speak of "ecosystem-based management" or an "ecosystem approach to management." Ecosystem-based management focuses on managing human activities, rather than deliberately manipulating or managing entire ecosystems.

## HOW DOES 'ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT' (EBM) DIFFER FROM 'ECOSYSTEM-BASED FISHERY MANAGEMENT' (EBFM)?

EBM and EBFM are different, but complementary. Managing individual sectors, such as fishing, in an ecosystem context is necessary but not sufficient to ensure the continued productivity and resilience of an ecosystem. Individual human activities should be managed in a fashion that considers the impacts of the sector on the entire ecosystem as well as on other sectors. The longer-term, integrated, cumulative impacts of all relevant sectors on an ecosystem must be evaluated, with a mechanism for adjusting impacts of individual sectors.

### APPENDIX B: GENERAL REFERENCES

- Bertness, M.D., S.D. Gaines, and M.E. Hay (eds.). 2001. Marine Community Ecology. Sinauer, Sutherland, MA.
- Chapin III, F.S., E.S. Zavaleta, V.T. Eviners, R.L. Naylor, P.M. Vitousek, H.L. Reynolds, D.U. Hooper, S. Lavorel, O.E. Sala, S.E.Hobbie, M.C. Mack, and S. Diaz. 2000. Consequences of changing biodiversity. Nature 405:234-242.
- Christensen, N.L., Bartuska, A.M., Brown, J.H., Carpenter, S.R., D'Antonio, C.M., Francis, R., Franklin, J.F., MacMahon, J.A., Noss, R.F., Parsons, D.J., Peterson, C.H., Turner, M.G., Woodmansee, R.G. 1996. The report of the Ecological Society of America committee on the scientific basis for ecosystem management. Ecological Applications 6:665-691.
- Cicin-Sain, B. and R.W. Knecht. 2000. *The Future of US Ocean Policy: Choices for the New Century*. Island Press, Washington DC.
- Costanza, R., Andrade, R., Ataunes, P., van den Belt, M., Boersma, D., Boesch, D.F., Catarino, R., Hanna, S., Limburg, K., Low, B., Molitor, M., Pereira, J.G., Rayner, S., Santos, R., Wilson, J., and M. Young. 1998. Principles of sustainable governance of the oceans. Science 281:198-199.
- Ecosystem Principles Advisory Panel. 1999. Ecosystem-based fishery management: a report to Congress by the Ecosystem Principles Advisory Panel, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington DC.
- Link, J.S. 2002. What does ecosystem-based fisheries management mean? Fisheries 27:18-21.
- Mangel, M., L.M. Talbot, G.K. Meffe, M.T. Agardy, D.L. Alverson, J. Barlow, D.B. Botkin, G. Budowski, T. Clark, J. Cooke, R.H. Crozier, P.K. Dayton, D.L. Elder, C.W. Fowler, S. Funtowicz, J. Giske, R.J. Hofman, S.J. Holt, S.R. Kellert, L.A. Kimball, D. Ludwig, K. Magnusson, B.S. Malayang III, C. Mann, E.A. Norse, S.P. Northridge, W.F. Perrin, C. Perrings, R.M. Peterman, G.B. Rabb, H.A. Regier, J.E. Reynolds III, K. Sherman, M.P. Sissenwine, T.D. Smith, A. Starfield, R.J. Taylor, M.F. Tillman, C. Toft, J.R. Twiss, Jr., J. Wilen, and T.P. Young. 1996. Principles for the conservation of wild living resources. Ecological Applications 6:338-362.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. 2005. Island Press, Washington DC; http://www.MAweb.org
- NRC (National Research Council). 1999. *Our Common Journey: A Transition Toward Sustainability*. National Academy Press, Washington DC, 363 pp.
- NRC (National Research Council). 1999. *Sustaining marine fisheries*. National Academy Press, Washington DC, 184 pp.
- Pew Oceans Commission. 2003. *America's Living Oceans: Charting a Course for Sea Change*. Pew Oceans Commission, Arlington, VA.
- Pikitch, E.K., C. Santora, E.A. Babcock, A. Bakun, R. Bonfil, D.O. Conover, P. Dayton, P. Doukakis, D. Fluharty, B. Heneman, E.D. Houde, J. Link, P.A. Livingston, M. Mangel, M.K. McAllister, J. Pope, K.J. Sainsbury. 2004. Ecosystem-based fishery management. Science 305:346-347.
- Sherman, K., L. M. Alexander, and B. D. Gold, eds. 1990. Large Marine Ecosystems: Patterns, Processes, and Yields. American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington DC.
- U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy. 2004. *An Ocean Blueprint for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*. Final Report of the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy to the President and Congress, Washington DC.

## The following scientists and policy experts have approved this statement. All hold either Ph.D. or J.D. degrees and are based at U.S. academic institutions.<sup>3</sup>

## **Initial Signatories**

Karen L. McLeod Oregon State University

totallh

Stephen R. Palumbi Stanford University

Donald F. Boesch University of Maryland

Smald & Seein

Biliana Cicin-Sain University of Delaware

Lang B. Crowden

Diliana licin Jain

Larry B. Crowder **Duke University** 

Steven D. Gaines University of California, Santa Barbara

Steven D Haines

Charles & Kennel Charles F. Kennel

Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Jane Lubchenco Oregon State University

Andrew A. Rosenberg University of New Hampshire

myank of Can

Mark H. Carr University of California, Santa Cruz

David O. Conover Stony Brook University

David L. Fluharty University of Washington

Day (Flution

Mark A. Hixon Oregon State University

Simon A. Levin **Princeton University** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Institutions are listed for purposes of identification only.

Jeffry Lent

Jeffrey S. Levinton Stony Brook University

Je Cope

John C. Ogden Florida Institute of Oceanography and University of South Florida

Ellen K. Pikitch University of Miami

SM\_VIA

Enric Sala

Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Robert R. Warner

University of California, Santa Barbara

Nove Novel

Marc Mangel

University of California, Santa Cruz

Charles H. Peterson

Charles H. Peterson University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

Nancy Rabalais

Nancy N. Rabalais

RAA S.X

Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium

Robert S. Steneck University of Maine

**Additional Signatories** 

Satie Airamé

University of California, Santa Barbara

Dennis M. Allen

University of South Carolina

Don Anderson

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Alie Allerse

Alice Alldredge

University of California, Santa Barbara

Richard Ambrose

University of California, Los Angeles

Level C. Center

Todd W. Anderson

San Diego State University

Willia andengl.

William D. Anderson, Jr. College of Charleston

Richard B. Aronson

University of South Alabama

John C. avise

Jerald S. Ault University of Miami

John C. Avise University of Georgia

Andrew Bakun University of Miami

Richard T. Barber Duke University

Kichard t. Barba

Jack A. Barth Oregon State University

John a Barth

James P. Beets University of Hawaii at Hilo

lames P. Beets

RS Cypha

Richard S. Appledorn University of Puerto Rico

Larry P. Otternoon

Larry P. Atkinson Old Dominion University

Leter Auster

Peter J. Auster University of Connecticut

Matthew P. Ayres Dartmouth College

Paul H. Barber Boston University

James P. Barry

Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute

Robert C. Beardsley

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Awen a Berbelg

Robert C Beardely

Steven A. Berkeley University of California, Santa Cruz Eric L. Berlow University of California, San Diego

Mark D. Bertness Brown University

MAKED Sut

Carol A. Blanchette University of California, Santa Barbara

P. De Boersma

P. Dee Boersma University of Washington

O Blanchette

Malcolm J. Bowman Stony Brook University

Beth Bryant University of Washington

James E. Byers University of New Hampshire

Stephen R Carpenter

Stephen R. Carpenter University of Wisconsin

Cohenaal

Giacomo Bernardi University of California, Santa Cruz

Charles Bükaland

Charles Birkeland University of Hawaii at Manoa

George Boehlert Oregon State University

Course Behlert

Louis W. Rotsford

Mathes & Bracken

Louis W. Botsford University of California, Davis

Matthew E. S. Bracken University of California, Davis

Ronald S. Burton

Scripps Institution of Oceanography

James T. Carlton Williams College

Jennifer Caselle University of California, Santa Barbara Francis Chan

Francis Chan
Oregon State University

Jalla We\_\_\_\_

Sallie W. Chisholm Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Chrosolw W Clarks

Christopher W. Clark Cornell University

Felicia C. Coleman Florida State University

Benjamin E. Cuker Hampton University

Gretchen C. Daily Stanford University

Christopher F. D'Elia University of South Florida

Pla. Dil

Church F. Wen

Paul A. Dinnel Western Washington University lelea y Chen

Celia Y. Chen Dartmouth College

Homo L. Christensen, Jr. Duke University

Um C CCCITY

William C. Clark Harvard University

Keith R. Cooper Rutgers University

Lisa M. Curran Yale University

Paul K. Dayton

Paul Kayton

Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Megar Dither

Megan N. Dethier University of Washington

Any yosson

Andrew P. Dobson Princeton University

AUS

Jenifer E. Dugan University of California, Santa Barbara

Phillip Ducton

Phillip Dustan College of Charleston

Hal R. Shelin

Paul R. Ehrlich Stanford University

Ruf bear

Ron J. Etter University of Massachusetts

a. Contr

Graham Forrester University of Rhode Island

Jam N. Salloway

James N. Galloway University of Virginia

Jean Hana

Leah Gerber Arizona State University

Swah Gilman

Sarah Gilman University of South Carolina

DilDy

David O. Duggins University of Washington

Lynny S. Echel

Ginny L. Eckert University of Alaska, Southeast

Richard Combot

Richard B. Emlet University of Oregon

Stole

Stephen C. Farber University of Pittsburgh

Jeny F. Franklin

Jerry F. Franklin University of Washington

Buin Saford

Brian Gaylord University of California, Davis

Anne Sublin

Anne E. Giblin Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole

Michael H. Graham Moss Landing Marine Lab

Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, California State Universities

J. Frederick Grassle Rutgers University

Nancy B. Grimm Arizona State University

Donald R. Gunderson University of Washington

Michael Hadfield

Michael G. Hadfield University of Hawaii at Manoa

C. Drew Harvell Cornell University

Mark E. Hay Georgia Institute of Technology

Selina Heppell Oregon State University

Carlton H. Hershner Virginia Institute of Marine Science Charles H. Greene

Charles H. Greene Cornell University

Aurian K. Coursbury

Richard K. Grosberg University of California, Davis

Sally D. Hacker Oregon State University

Benjamin S. Halpern University of California, Santa Barbara

Alan Hastings University of California, Davis

Brian Helmuth
University of South Carolina

Sreet A Hymu

Scott A. Heppell Oregon State University

Helen Hess College of the Atlantic

-the du

John Hobbie

John E. Hobbie Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole

Robert W. Howarth Cornell University

Peter A. Juman

University of Maine

Les Kaufman Boston University

Lo lastra

Ann Kinzig Arizona State University

Terrie Klinger University of Washington

Barbara A. Knuth Cornell University

Christopher C. Koenig Florida State University Col E. Holmon

Gretchen E. Hofmann University of California, Santa Barbara

Jeremy B. C. Jackson Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Rould H. Kaulson

Judeth T. Keldow

Ronald H. Karlson University of Delaware

Judith T. Kildow California State University, Monterey Bay

Bjorn Kjerfve Texas A & M University

Many Knowther

Nancy Knowlton Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Mimi A.R. Koehl
University of California, Berkeley

Huen H. Kunn

Gordon H. Kruse University of Alaska, Fairbanks Rikk Kvitek California State University, Monterey Bay

Hunter S. Lenihan University of California, Santa Barbara

Lisa A. Levin

Scripps Institution of Oceanography

David E. Lincoln University of South Carolina

Milton Love University of California, Santa Barbara

Laure P. Madin

Itan Lare

Lawrence P. Madin Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

amila Matson

Pamela Matson Stanford University

Jury McComick-Ray

Jerry McCormick-Ray University of Virginia Ralph J. Larson San Francisco State University

Heather Leslie Princeton University

Gene E. Likens Institute of Ecosystem Studies

Here Liker

Romuald N. Lipcius Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Smudhn Fours

Christopher Lowe California State University, Long Beach

Nancy H. Marcus Florida State University

Bonnie J. McCay Rutgers University

Judith E. McDowell Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Judith C. Modewell

Margaret Mc Mans

Margaret Anne McManus University of Hawaii at Manoa

Jany M. Mehllo

Jerry M. Melillo Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole

Firms Wilel

Fiorenza Micheli Stanford University

Kristen L.D. Milligan Oregon State University

Steven G. Morgan University of California, Davis

Roz L. Naylor Stanford University

Rawa

Karina J. Nielsen Sonoma State University

Huser H Owens Gordon H. Orians University of Washington Marcia Mcau

Marcia K. McNutt Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute

Bruce Menge

Bruce A. Menge Oregon State University

Kathy Ann Miller

House Mooney

University of California, Berkeley

Harold Mooney Stanford University

Steven N. Murray

Steven N. Murray California State University, Fullerton

Joseph E. Neigel University of Louisiana-Lafayette

Mark D. Ohman Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Gail Osherenko University of California, Santa Barbara David W. Owens

K. Padlh

David W. Owens College of Charleston

Dianna K. Padilla Stony Brook University

Julia K. Parrish University of Washington

Christopher W. Peterson College of the Atlantic

Stuart L. Pimm Duke University

H. Ron Pulliam University of Georgia

Alison Rieser

Alison Rieser University of Maine School of Law

J.B. Ruhl Florida State University of College of Law Michael L. Pace

Michael L. Pace Institute of Ecosystem Studies

Maynetalalmen

Margaret A. Palmer University of Maryland

Gustav Paulay University of Florida

Catherine Ann Pfister University of Chicago

Mary E. Power

University of California, Berkeley

G. Caren Ray

G. Carleton Ray University of Virginia

Jennifer Ruesink University of Washington

Paul W. Sammarco

Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium

wife Duesmh

Lorda luncho

Gorka A. Sancho College of Charleston

Donald Scavia University of Michigan

Smale f.

David H. Secor University of Maryland

Lynda P. Shapiro University of Oregon

Daniel Simberloff University of Tennessee

David Swith

L. David Smith Smith College

Erik E. Sotka College of Charleston

J. Gustave Speth Yale University Tue Sangord

Eric Sanford University of California, Davis

Cawall Schmit

Oswald J. Schmitz Yale University

Alan Shanks University of Oregon

Sandra E. Shumway University of Connecticut

Craig R. Smith University of Hawaii at Manoa

George N. Somero Stanford University

Wayne P. Sousa University of California, Berkeley

Su Sponaugle University of Miami In Hopen

John J. Stachowicz University of California, Davis

Robert W. Sterner University of Minnesota

William J. Sydeman Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Sean K. Todd College of the Atlantic

Robert L. Vadas University of Maine

Cindy Lee Van Dover College of William and Mary

Jul M. Wy

Les Watling University of Maine

Gerald M. Wellington University of Houston

Aller Ate

Eleanor Sterling American Museum of Natural History

Richard R. Strathmann University of Washington

G. David Tilman University of Minnesota

Alan R. Townsend University of Colorado, Boulder

Kathryn L. Van Alstyne Western Washington University

Libe Washlum

Libe Washburn University of California, Santa Barbara

Jeland & Weis

Kathryn L. Van Alstyne

Judith S. Weis Rutgers University

li Whitmer

Ali Whitmer University of California, Santa Barbara Martin Wikelski

for D. Witman

Martin Wikelski Princeton University

A.O. Dennis Willows University of Washington

Jon D. Witman Brown University

J. Timothy Wooton University of Chicago

Steven L. Yaffee University of Michigan

Joy Zedler University of Wisconsin Susan & Williams

Susan L. Williams University of California, Davis

Jam C. Wilm

James Wilson University of Maine

Sarah Ann Woodin University of South Carolina

Inde Lond.

Dawn J. Wright Oregon State University

Hawn J. Wright

Oran R. Young
University of California, Santa Barbara

Richard Zingmark

Richard G. Zingmark University of South Carolina